arXiv:1606.01562v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 5 Jun 2016

Spin current contribution in the spectrum of collective excitations of degenerate partially polarized spin-1/2 fermions at separate dynamics of spin-up and spin-down fermions

Pavel A. Andreev*
Faculty of physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation.
(Dated: June 7, 2016)

The spectrum of collective excitations of degenerate partially polarized spin-1/2 fermions is considered. The spin-up fermions and the spin-down fermions are considered as different fluids. Corresponding two-fluid hydrodynamics consistent with a non-linear Pauli equation is suggested. An equation of state for the "thermal part" of the spin current is suggested for the degenerate regime, where the spin current is caused by the Pauli blocking. Spectrum of three waves is found as a solution of the hydrodynamic equations: two sound waves and one spin wave. Their spectrums are calculated for two regimes: propagation parallel and perpendicular to the external magnetic field.

I. INTRODUCTION

In contrast with bosons, fermions has a large Fermi pressure at the zero temperature. This relict of the thermal pressure exists due to the Pauli blocking. Microscopic derivation of the spin evolution equation shows that a thermal part of the spin current also exists [1], [2], [3]. At small temperatures it reduces to a spin current caused by the Pauli blocking. It is similar to the Fermi pressure in the Euler equation thus it is called the Fermi spin current [3].

The explicit form of the Fermi pressure is well known for a long time. It gives an equation of state for the pressure of degenerate spin-1/2 fermions with zero spin polarization. An account of the spin polarization in the equation of state of the degenerate fermion gas can be easily done [4]. However, the Fermi spin current or the thermal spin current at a non-zero temperature has not been considered (spin currents caused by the interaction are not discussed here see for instance [5]). Recently, an equation of state has been suggested at the analysis of the spin imbalanced electron gas. It is based on a minimal coupling scheme which selfconsistently bind a non-linear Pauli equation, Euler equations for spin-up and spin-down electrons and the spin evolution equation [3]. The account of the Fermi spin current dramatically modifies the spin wave spectrum [3]. Moreover, the importance of the account of the separate spin evolution at the analysis of the concentration and velocity field evolution follows from the appearance of the spin-electron acoustic wave [6].

This result can be applied to neutral spin-1/2 fermions considered in different aspects of the spinor quantum gases [7]-[10]. Spectrum of collective excitations in the spin imbalanced spin-1/2 fermions is calculated in this paper considering the short-range interaction between fermions with different spin projection in the first order by the interaction radius.

*Electronic address: andreevpa@physics.msu.ru

The external magnetic field creates a preferable direction in space. Therefore, we can expect anisotropy of the spectrum. Particularly, we have different spectrums for wave propagation parallel and perpendicular to external field.

Dynamics of a system with non-zero spin particles of a single species can be presented in form of the single fluid hydrodynamics [11]. These hydrodynamic equations include the spin evolution equation along with equations for evolution of concentration and velocity field of all particles. However, a multi-fluid description of the system can be applied either (see for instance [12] for a three-fluid hydrodynamics of spin-1 Bose-Einstein condensates).

Suggesting model is similar to the spinor BEC [13], [14], [15] (see reviews [16] and [17] as well). There are 2-types of the ground state in the spin-1 BEC. They are called ferromagnetic state and polar state. There are three branches of two types of the collective excitations in each ground state: a Bogoliubov (sound) mode and spin modes [13], [14]. Spin-1/2 fermions also show three branches of two types of the collective excitations. The spin-3/2 and spin-5/2 Fermi gases are also under consideration in literature [18].

If we have full spin polarization of spin-1/2 fermions they show two branches of waves. The partial spin polarization leads to the splitting of the sound wave on two sound waves (similar splitting exists for the zero sound [19]).

II. MODEL

We consider partial spin polarized spin-1/2 fermions. Hence, we have the contribution of the short-range interaction between spin-up and spin-down fermions.

We suggest a minimal coupling model allowing the hydrodynamic description with a non-linear Schrodinger (Pauli) equation (NLSE). The NLSE arises as

$$\imath\hbar\partial_t\psi = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2\triangle}{2m} + \hat{\pi} + \hat{g} - \mu\widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\mathbf{B}\right)\psi \qquad (1)$$

where ψ is the spinor wave function which is a column

with two elements $\{\psi_u, \psi_d\}$, subindexes u and d refer to the spin-up and spin-down states, $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ are the Pauli matrixes, $\hat{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_u & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_d \end{pmatrix}$ is the spinor pressure term, which arises as a diagonal second rank spinor, where $\pi_s = (6\pi^2 n_s)^{\frac{2}{3}}\hbar^2/2m$ are the contribution of the Fermi pressure. It can be represented in term of the Pauli matrixes $\hat{\pi} = \pi_u(\hat{\mathrm{I}}+\widehat{\sigma}_z)/2+\pi_d(\hat{\mathrm{I}}-\widehat{\sigma}_z)/2$, where $\hat{\mathrm{I}}$ is the unit second rank spinor $\hat{\mathrm{I}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The SRI is presented by $\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} gn_d & 0 \\ 0 & gn_u \end{pmatrix} = g[(n_u+n_d)\hat{\mathrm{I}}+(n_d-n_u)\widehat{\sigma}_z]/2$. It gives an interspecies interaction [20], [21]), [22]. Considering the short-range interaction we drop the contribution of terms arising in the third order on the interaction radius leading to non-local terms [23], [24].

The continuity equations corresponding to the minimal coupling model (1) appears as follows

$$\partial_t n_s + \nabla(n_s \mathbf{v}_s) = \pm\frac{\gamma}{\hbar}(S_x B_y - S_y B_x), \qquad (2)$$

where we have applied S_x and S_y for mixed combinations of ψ_u and ψ_d, $\pm$ means $+$ at $s = u$ and $-$ at $s = d$, $n_s = \psi_s^* \psi_s$, $S_\alpha = \psi^+ \sigma\alpha\psi$.

The velocity fields presented in the continuity equations (2) are satisfy the following Euler equations

$$mn_s(\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_s\nabla)\mathbf{v}_s + \nabla p_s$$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{4m} n_s \nabla\left(\frac{\triangle n_s}{n_s} - \frac{(\nabla n_s)^2}{2n_s^2}\right) = \mathbf{F}_{g,s} + \mathbf{F}_{S,s}, \qquad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{F}_{g,s}$ is the force field of short-range interaction: $\mathbf{F}_{g,u} = -gn_u\nabla n_d$, $\mathbf{F}_{g,d} = -gn_d\nabla n_u$, $\mathbf{F}_{S,s}$ is the force field of spin-spin interaction, its explicit form arises as

$$\mathbf{F}_{S,s} = \pm\gamma n_s \nabla B_z + \frac{\gamma}{2}(S_x\nabla B_x + S_y \nabla B_y)$$

$$\pm\frac{m\gamma}{\hbar}((\mathbf{J}_{(M)x} - S_x\mathbf{v}_s)B_y - (\mathbf{J}_{(M)y} - S_y\mathbf{v}_s)B_x), \qquad (4)$$

with the following explicit form of the substantial (material, convective) and quantum parts of spin currents

$$J^{\alpha\beta}_{(M)} = \frac{1}{2}(v_u^\beta + v_d^\beta)S^\alpha - \varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma z}\frac{\hbar}{4m}\left(\frac{\nabla^\beta n_u}{n_u} - \frac{\nabla^\beta n_d}{n_d}\right)S^\gamma, \qquad (5)$$

and pressures

$$p_s = \frac{(6\pi^2)^{2/3}}{5}\frac{\hbar^2}{m}n_s^{5/3}. \qquad (6)$$

The generalized Bloch equation describes the spin evolution which is related to evolution of both species simultaneously:

$$n(\partial_t + \mathbf{v}\nabla)\frac{\mathbf{S}}{n} + \frac{(6\pi^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}\hbar}{m}(n_u^{\frac{2}{3}} - n_d^{\frac{2}{3}})[\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{e}_z]$$



FIG. 1: (Color online) The figure shows the dispersion dependence of spin waves propagating parallel to the external magnetic field. The frequency is plotted as a function of dimensionless wave vector $\kappa = k/n_0^{1/3}$. The figure shows the dispersion dependence for different spin polarization. The upper (lower) curve is obtained for $\eta = 0.1$ ($\eta = 0.3$). Other parameters are $m = 8.7\times10^{-23}$ g, $n_0 = 10^{14}$ cm^{-3}, $\mu = 2\mu_B$, $B_0 = 10^{-3}$ G, $g = 4\pi\hbar^2 a/m$, $a = 10$ nm, where μ_B is the Bohr magneton.

$$-\frac{\hbar}{2m}\partial^\beta[\mathbf{S}, \partial^\beta\frac{\mathbf{S}}{n}] = \frac{2\gamma}{\hbar}[\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{B}] + \frac{g}{\hbar}(n_u - n_d)[\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{e}_z], \quad (7)$$

where $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ is the vector product of vectors $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, $n = n_u+n_d$ is the full concentration, and $\mathbf{v} = (n_u\mathbf{v}_u+n_d\mathbf{v}_d)/n$ is the velocity field of whole system. The Fermi spin current is presented by the second term.

The Maxwell magneto–static equations are $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B} = 0$, $\nabla\times\mathbf{B} = 4\pi\mu\nabla\times\mathbf{S}$, where $\mathbf{S} = \{S_x, S_y, n_u - n_d\}$ is the three vector of spin density.

It is well-known that hydrodynamic equations do not include the zero-sound which can be included by a kinetic model [25].

The hydrodynamic model presented by equations (2)-(7) is similar to the separate spin evolution quantum hydrodynamics developed for electron gas [6].

III. SPECTRUM OF COLLECTIVE EXCITATIONS: LINEAR REGIME

Next, the small perturbations of an equilibrium state are considered. The equilibrium state is described by the concentrations n_{0u}, n_{0d}, the external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0\mathbf{e}_z$, and the spin density projection on the magnetic field direction $S_{0z} = n_{0u} - n_{0d}$. Other quantities like the velocity fields $\mathbf{v}_u$, $\mathbf{v}_d$, the transverse spin density projections S_x, S_y are equal to zero. We consider the perturbations in form of plane monochromatic wave such as a perturbation of each quantity can be presented in the following form $\delta f = F\exp(-\imath\omega t + \imath\mathbf{kr})$.



FIG. 2: (Color online) The figure shows contributions of the different terms in formula (8) As a function of the spin polarization at $\kappa = 0.2$. Other parameters are the same as in the previous figure. All terms in the order presented by formula (8) are presented by the following lines: the upper blue thick continuous line, black thick dashed (the middle line) line, blue dashed (second from above) line, red thick continuous (second from bottom) line, the lower red dashed line correspondingly.



FIG. 3: (Color online) The figure shows two sound waves. Each of them is presented for two different spin polarizations. Continuous lines show the dispersion dependencies for $\eta = 0.3$. The dashed lines present the sound waves at $\eta = 0.1$. The figure shows that a splitting between the dispersion dependencies of the sound waves increases with the increase of the spin polarization. Other parameters are the same as in the previous figure.

A. Propagation parallel to the equilibrium spin polarization

At the propagation parallel to the anisotropy direction created by the equilibrium spin density and the external magnetic field we choose the wave vector in the following form $\mathbf{k} = \{0, 0, k\}$.

Spectrum of the spin waves arises as a result of evolution of δS_x and δS_y has the following form:

$$\omega = \left| \Omega + \frac{g}{\hbar}\eta n_0 - \mathrm{w} - \frac{8\pi\mu^2}{\hbar}\eta n_0 + \eta\frac{\hbar k^2}{2m} \right|, \qquad (8)$$



FIG. 4: (Color online) The figure shows the dispersion dependencies of spin waves propagating parallel (the lower curve) and perpendicular (the upper curve) to the external magnetic field at $\eta = 0.3$.

where

$$\mathrm{w} = \frac{(6\pi^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}\hbar}{m}(n_{0u}^{\frac{2}{3}} - n_{0d}^{\frac{2}{3}}) \qquad (9)$$

is the characteristic frequency of the Fermi spin current, and $\Omega = 2\mu B_0/\hbar$ is the cyclotron frequency. Presence of a small magnetic field $B_0 = 10^{-3}$ G makes the cyclotron frequency Ω comparable with other terms in (8). At the larger magnetic field the cyclotron term has the major contribution.

Spectrum of the waves of concentrations n_u, n_d (and the velocity fields $\mathbf{v}_u$, $\mathbf{v}_d$) appears from equations (2) and (3):

$$\omega^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left[U_u^2 + U_d^2 \pm \sqrt{(U_u^2 - U_d^2)^2 + 4g^2\frac{n_{0u}n_{0d}}{m^2}}\right]k^2, \quad (10)$$

where $U_s^2 = (6\pi^2 n_{0s})^{\frac{2}{3}}\hbar^2/3m^2 + \hbar^2k^4/4m^2$. If there is no spin polarization we have $n_{0u} = n_{0d} = n_0/2$ and $U_{0u} = U_{0d}$. Consequently formula (10) simplifies to $\omega^2 = U^2k^2 + gn_0k^2/m$, with $U^2 = (3\pi^2 n_0)^{\frac{2}{3}}\hbar^2/3m^2 + \hbar^2k^4/4m^2$.

Using $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ we find $\delta B_z k_z = 0$, which gives $\delta B_z = 0$. Thus, the magnetic field perturbation disappears from equations (2) and (3). Therefore, the sound waves and the spin waves are described by the independent equations (in linear regime).

Obtained solution (10) is similar to the spin-electron acoustic wave found in Refs. [6], and spin plasmon obtained for the two dimensional electron gas [26].

Solution (8) is presented in Fig. 1 for two different spin polarization. The frequency at the zero wave vector $\omega(k = 0)$ is shifted from the cyclotron frequency by the short-range interaction (second term) and spin effects (third and fourth terms). The repulsive short-range interaction increases the frequency, while the spin effects decrease it. In the considering range of parameters, the spin effects are dominate over the short-range interaction as it is demonstrated in Fig. 2, hance $\omega(k = 0) < \Omega$. The

increase of the spin polarization η increases the short-range interaction and spin effects. The sum of them is negative. Consequently, the increase of the spin polarization decreases total frequency as we see from Fig. 1.

The sound waves described by equation (10) are presented in Fig. 3. The figure shows that the partial spin polarization leads to the splitting of the sound wave on two waves. Moreover, it shows that the increase of the spin polarization increases the splitting between two branches of sound waves.

B. Propagation perpendicular to the equilibrium spin polarization

At the perpendicular propagation we choose the wave vector in the following form $\mathbf{k} = \{k, 0, 0\}$.

Spectrum of the spin waves appears as follows:

$$\omega^2 = \left(\Omega + \frac{g}{\hbar}\eta n_0 - \mathrm{w} + \eta\frac{\hbar k^2}{2m}\right)\times$$

$$\times\left(\Omega + \frac{g}{\hbar}\eta n_0 - \mathrm{w} - \frac{8\pi\mu^2}{\hbar}\eta n_0 + \eta\frac{\hbar k^2}{2m}\right). \qquad (11)$$

The spin wave dispersion dependence is different for parallel and perpendicular propagation. This difference appears in disappearance of the single term. Term $\frac{8\pi\mu^2}{\hbar}\eta n_0$ exists in one of two multipliers in formula (11). While it exists in both multipliers at the parallel propagation (8). This difference is presented in Fig. 4.

Spectrum of the sound waves is obtained as follows:

$$\omega^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left[U_u^2 + U_d^2 - \frac{4\pi\mu^2 n_0}{m} \pm \left(\left(U_u^2 - U_d^2 - \frac{4\pi\mu^2(n_{0u} - n_{0d})}{m}\right)^2\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left. + 4(g + 4\pi\mu^2)^2\frac{n_{0u}n_{0d}}{m^2}\right)^{1/2}\right]k^2. \qquad (12)$$

The effects of magnetic moment are relatively small in formula (12) for the considering parameters range. Therefore, the sound wave spectrum is almost isotropic.

IV. CONCLUSION

A minimal coupling model of neutral spin-1/2 fermions with the short-range and spin-spin interactions has been presented in form of a non-linear Pauli equation with the spinor pressure term and two-fluid hydrodynamic equations. The presentation of the model in the hydrodynamic form explicitly shows the divergence of the Fermi spin current (a part of the spin current caused by the Pauli blocking). Being in the polar phase the spin-1/2 fermions show three collective excitations, two of them are sound waves, and the third wave is a spin wave. Being in an external magnetic field the system shows an anisotropic behavior. This structure of the spectrum exist for both limit regimes of the wave propagation: parallel and perpendicular to the external magnetic field. It has been shown that the Fermi spin current contributes in the spin wave spectrum only. The spin current significantly decreases the spin wave frequency.

An uniform Fermi gas has been considered. The effects of optical trapping have not been included. The non-linear effects and effects of trap geometry will be discussed elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 16-32-00886) and the Dynasty foundation.

[1] L. S. Kuz'menkov, S. G. Maksimov, and V. V. Fedoseev, Theor. Math. Phys. **126**, 110 (2001).
[2] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuz'menkov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **29**, 1550077 (2015).
[3] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuz'menkov, arXiv:1510.03468.
[4] A. D. Margulis and VI. A. Marguli, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **93**, 1800 (1987).
[5] A. J. Leggett, M. J. Rice, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 586 (1968).
[6] P. A. Andreev, Phys. Rev. E **91**, 033111 (2015).
[7] P. M. Duarte, R. A. Hart, T.-L. Yang, X. Liu, T. Paiva, E. Khatami, R. T. Scalettar, N. Trivedi, and R. G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 070403 (2015).
[8] C. Hofrichter, L. Riegger, F. Scazza, M. Hofer, D. R. Fernandes, I. Bloch, and S. Folling, Phys. Rev. X **6**, 021030 (2016).
[9] D. Greif, M. F. Parsons, A. Mazurenko, C. S. Chiu, S. Blatt, F. Huber, G. Ji, M. Greiner, Science **351**, 953 (2016).
[10] E. Cocchi, L. A. Miller, J. H. Drewes, M. Koschorreck, D. Pertot, F. Brennecke, and M. Kohl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 175301 (2016).
[11] T. Takabayasi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **14**, 283 (1955).
[12] G. Szirmai and P. Szepfalusy, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 053603 (2012).
[13] T. L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 742 (1998).
[14] T. Ohmi and K. Machida, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 1822 (1998).
[15] M. Ueda and M. Koashi, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 063602 (2002).
[16] Y. Kawaguchi, M. Ueda, Physics Reports **520**, 253 (2012).

[17] Dan M. Stamper-Kurn, M. Ueda, Rev. Mod. Phys. **85**, 1191 (2013).
[18] K. Eckert, J. Zawitkowski, M. J. Leskinen, A. Sanpera, and M. Lewenstein, New J. Phys. **9**, 133 (2007).
[19] P. A. Andreev, arXiv:1409.7885 (accepted to Phys. Plasmas).
[20] S. Ashhab and A. J. Leggett, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 063612 (2003).
[21] S. Ashhab, Journal of Low Temperature Physics **140**, 51 (2005).
[22] J. Xu and Q. Gu, Eur. Phys. Lett. **94**, 60001 (2011).
[23] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuz'menkov, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 053624 (2008).
[24] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuzmenkov, Mod. Phys. Lett. B **26**, 1250152 (2012).
[25] L. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics, part II* (Pergamon, New York, 1980).
[26] J. C. Ryan, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 4499 (1991).